



JOURNAL OF THE ROYAL LAUREATES ACADEMY

www.rlaindia.org

EMPLOYEE SKILL HOARDING AND ITS ORGANIZATIONAL IMPLICATIONS: EXAMINING THE DRIVERS, CONSEQUENCES, AND BARRIERS TO ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING

Gurmeet Singh

Research Scholar, Dept. of Management, Chaudhary Charan Singh University
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh

Dr. Vinod Kumar

Research Supervisor, Dept. of Management, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut,
Uttar Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Employee skill hoarding is an emerging organizational behaviour in which employees retain specialized knowledge, expertise, competencies, or work-related skills rather than actively transferring them to colleagues. Although employee knowledge is a critical resource for organizational development, its concentration in individuals can create dependency, weaken knowledge-sharing practices, and restrict organizational learning. This paper examines the major drivers of employee skill hoarding, its individual and organizational consequences, and the barriers it creates for organizational learning. Factors such as job insecurity, competition, lack of recognition, distrust, perceived power advantages, weak leadership, and inadequate knowledge-sharing systems may encourage employees to retain valuable skills. Skill hoarding can subsequently affect teamwork, innovation, employee development, succession planning, productivity, and organizational resilience. The paper argues that organizations should develop trust-based cultures, collaborative leadership, knowledge-sharing incentives, mentoring systems, documentation practices, and psychologically safe workplaces to reduce excessive skill retention and strengthen organizational learning.

Keywords: Employee skill hoarding, knowledge sharing, knowledge hiding, organizational learning, employee behaviour, organizational knowledge, workplace competition

I. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge and employee skills have become central strategic resources in contemporary organizations. Organizations increasingly depend on employees who possess specialized technical knowledge, professional expertise, interpersonal capabilities, and experience-based skills that cannot always be easily replaced or documented. While organizations invest considerably in recruitment, training, and knowledge-management systems, the benefits of these investments depend on the extent to which employees are willing to transfer their knowledge to others. When employees deliberately or habitually retain valuable skills within themselves, organizations may experience a form of knowledge concentration commonly described as skill or knowledge hoarding. Knowledge hoarding is related to, but conceptually distinct from, knowledge hiding and knowledge sharing. Previous research has demonstrated that knowledge-hiding behaviour may include evasive hiding, rationalized hiding, and playing dumb, while knowledge hoarding concerns the accumulation or retention of knowledge that could potentially be shared.

Employee skill hoarding can emerge for several individual, interpersonal, and organizational reasons. Employees may believe that possessing unique knowledge provides them with greater job security, bargaining power, status, recognition, or career opportunities. In highly competitive workplaces, employees may perceive knowledge as a personal asset that should be protected rather than an organizational resource that should be distributed. Fear of replacement is another important factor. An employee who believes that sharing specialized skills may make colleagues equally capable may prefer to retain expertise. Similarly, distrust between coworkers, weak relationships with supervisors, unfair reward systems, organizational politics, and previous negative experiences may discourage knowledge transfer. Research on knowledge hiding has identified distrust as an important predictor and has emphasized the role of interpersonal and organizational conditions in shaping knowledge-related behaviour.

The consequences of skill hoarding extend beyond individual relationships. When important capabilities are concentrated among a small number of employees, organizations may become vulnerable to employee turnover, absenteeism, retirement, or transfer. Teams may experience

difficulties when a key employee is unavailable because other members lack the necessary skills to perform critical activities. Skill hoarding can also reduce opportunities for peer learning, mentoring, innovation, and collective problem solving. Organizational learning requires the acquisition, interpretation, dissemination, and application of knowledge. If employees restrict the movement of knowledge, learning becomes fragmented and organizational experience may remain localized within individuals or small groups. Recent research also emphasizes that knowledge-hiding and knowledge-hoarding behaviours should be understood within broader organizational systems rather than simply as individual weaknesses.

Therefore, examining employee skill hoarding is important for both organizational behaviour and human-resource management. Organizations need to understand why employees retain skills, how such behaviour affects organizational functioning, and what mechanisms can encourage constructive knowledge exchange. This paper focuses on three major dimensions: the drivers of employee skill hoarding, its consequences for employees and organizations, and the barriers it creates for organizational learning. It also emphasizes practical mechanisms through which organizations can create environments in which employees perceive knowledge sharing as beneficial rather than threatening. By addressing trust, leadership, incentives, collaboration, documentation, mentoring, and psychological safety, organizations can reduce excessive skill concentration and improve their capacity for continuous learning.

II. DRIVERS AND ORGANIZATIONAL CAUSES OF EMPLOYEE SKILL HOARDING

Employee skill hoarding is influenced by a combination of personal motives and organizational conditions. One of the strongest drivers is the desire to maintain a sense of indispensability. Employees who possess rare technical or procedural knowledge may perceive their expertise as a source of personal value. If they believe that sharing these skills would reduce their uniqueness, they may intentionally or unintentionally restrict knowledge transfer. In competitive work environments, employees may associate specialized knowledge with promotions, recognition, authority, or job security. This creates a situation in which knowledge becomes a form of personal capital. Rather than viewing expertise as a collective resource, employees may treat it as something that provides individual advantage.

Job insecurity can further intensify this behaviour. When employees fear restructuring, downsizing, technological change, or replacement, they may retain important skills to demonstrate their continuing importance to the organization. Skill hoarding may therefore become a defensive mechanism. Employees may believe that managers will continue to depend on them if they alone possess specific knowledge. Such behaviour can be particularly strong when organizational communication is poor and employees do not clearly understand how their contributions will be evaluated. Where employees receive signals that performance is based primarily on individual competition, sharing expertise may appear risky. Research on knowledge behaviour similarly identifies performance pressure, workplace relationships, and organizational conditions as important influences on whether employees share or withhold knowledge.

Another important driver is interpersonal distrust. Employees are less likely to share valuable expertise when they suspect that colleagues may misuse their knowledge, take credit for their ideas, or use shared skills to compete against them. Distrust may also develop when employees have experienced unfair treatment or organizational politics. If a worker previously shared an idea and did not receive recognition, the experience can create reluctance toward future knowledge exchange. Knowledge-hiding research shows that distrust is particularly relevant because employees make knowledge-related decisions within interpersonal relationships. Therefore, creating formal knowledge-sharing systems without addressing underlying trust problems may not be sufficient.

Organizational reward systems can also unintentionally encourage skill hoarding. When promotions, bonuses, recognition, and career advancement are based primarily on individual performance, employees may prioritize personal achievement over collective learning. Similarly, organizations that recognize employees for being the only person capable of performing a particular task can unintentionally reinforce knowledge concentration. Employees may conclude that maintaining exclusive expertise is professionally advantageous. Conversely, reward structures that recognize mentoring, collaboration, documentation, training of colleagues, and knowledge contribution can create stronger incentives for sharing.

Leadership style represents another major driver. Managers who use authoritarian approaches, encourage excessive internal competition, or fail to communicate openly may create environments where employees protect information and skills. In contrast, supportive

leaders can create conditions in which employees feel comfortable asking questions and sharing mistakes. Leadership is especially important because employees observe managerial behaviour when determining whether knowledge sharing is genuinely valued. If managers publicly promote collaboration but privately reward individual competition, employees may respond to the latter signal. A consistent leadership approach is therefore essential for reducing knowledge-related defensive behaviour.

Finally, organizational culture determines whether employees perceive knowledge as a collective resource or an individual possession. Cultures characterized by secrecy, competition, low trust, weak communication, and rigid hierarchy may encourage hoarding. In contrast, cultures emphasizing cooperation, learning, mutual assistance, and shared responsibility are more likely to support knowledge exchange. Studies have shown that positive organizational knowledge cultures can reduce knowledge-hiding behaviour, indicating that the broader social environment is critical. Thus, employee skill hoarding should not be viewed simply as an employee personality problem. It is often a response to organizational signals, interpersonal relationships, reward structures, and perceived career risks.

III. CONSEQUENCES OF EMPLOYEE SKILL HOARDING FOR EMPLOYEES AND ORGANIZATIONS

The consequences of employee skill hoarding can appear at individual, team, and organizational levels. At the individual level, employees who continuously retain expertise may experience increased workload because colleagues cannot easily assist them. A person who becomes the sole holder of critical knowledge may be expected to solve repeated problems, answer questions, or perform specialized activities. Although this may initially increase the employee's perceived importance, it can eventually create stress and work overload. The organization may also become excessively dependent on that employee, making absence or turnover more disruptive. Thus, the short-term advantage of being indispensable can produce long-term pressure for the employee.

At the team level, skill hoarding can weaken collaboration. Team members require access to relevant information and skills to coordinate their work effectively. When one employee withholds expertise, others may have difficulty completing tasks independently. This can generate frustration, repeated work, delays, and interpersonal conflict. Employees may

become reluctant to depend on one another, resulting in reduced trust. A cycle can consequently develop in which distrust encourages knowledge retention, and knowledge retention further increases distrust. Such conditions are inconsistent with collaborative learning because organizational learning depends heavily on interaction and knowledge exchange.

Skill hoarding can also restrict innovation. Innovation frequently occurs when employees combine knowledge from different areas and develop new interpretations or solutions. When specialized expertise remains isolated, opportunities for cross-functional learning become limited. Employees may continue using familiar approaches because they lack access to alternative knowledge. This is especially problematic in technologically complex environments where innovation requires cooperation among individuals with different technical, managerial, and creative capabilities. Knowledge-sharing systems cannot produce innovation effectively if employees do not contribute the knowledge that those systems are intended to circulate.

Another major consequence concerns organizational continuity. Organizations frequently lose valuable knowledge when experienced employees retire, resign, transfer, or become unavailable. If their expertise has not been documented, taught, or distributed among other employees, the organization may face significant knowledge loss. Skill hoarding therefore creates organizational vulnerability. It can make succession planning difficult because potential successors may not receive sufficient exposure to critical tasks. Effective organizations attempt to distribute knowledge through training, mentoring, job rotation, documentation, communities of practice, and collaborative projects. Such mechanisms reduce the risk associated with dependence on individual knowledge holders.

Employee skill hoarding can also affect organizational performance indirectly. When employees repeatedly need assistance from a single expert, decision-making may become slower. Routine processes can be interrupted because authorization or technical knowledge is concentrated in one person. New employees may require longer periods of adjustment because experienced workers are unwilling to transfer tacit knowledge. The cost of training can therefore increase while the effectiveness of training decreases. Organizations may possess extensive human capital but fail to convert that individual capital into organizational capability.

At the organizational level, persistent knowledge hoarding can weaken organizational learning and adaptability. A systematic review of knowledge hiding and knowledge hoarding research emphasizes the importance of understanding how withholding behaviours interact with knowledge-sharing processes. More recent meta-analytic research covering 104 studies and more than 31,000 employees similarly demonstrates the breadth of knowledge-hiding research and its relationship with multiple antecedents and outcomes. Consequently, organizations should treat skill hoarding as a strategic organizational issue rather than merely an interpersonal inconvenience. Reducing unnecessary knowledge concentration can improve resilience, employee development, teamwork, innovation, and long-term organizational capability.

IV. SKILL HOARDING AS A BARRIER TO ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING

Organizational learning refers to the process through which organizations acquire, interpret, distribute, retain, and apply knowledge. It occurs through individual experience as well as through interaction among employees, teams, departments, and external stakeholders. Employee skill hoarding can interrupt this process because organizational learning requires knowledge to move beyond the person who originally possesses it. When specialized knowledge remains concentrated in individual employees, organizational learning becomes dependent on particular people rather than embedded within organizational systems. This creates a gap between individual knowledge and organizational knowledge.

The first barrier is limited knowledge dissemination. Employees who hoard skills may perform tasks effectively themselves but provide limited opportunities for coworkers to learn. New employees may therefore repeat mistakes that experienced employees could have prevented through mentoring. Similarly, teams may not understand why particular procedures work because the underlying knowledge remains tacit and personal. Without mechanisms for transferring such knowledge, organizations struggle to convert individual experience into shared competence. The organization may therefore have highly skilled individuals but relatively weak collective capability.

The second barrier involves reduced experimentation and learning from others. Organizational learning depends on discussion, questioning, feedback, and exposure to alternative perspectives. Skill hoarding reduces these opportunities by limiting access to expertise. Employees may become hesitant to approach colleagues whom they perceive as

unwilling to share. Over time, this can create learning silos in which departments or individuals operate according to separate knowledge bases. Such silos are particularly problematic when organizations need cross-functional coordination.

A third barrier concerns psychological safety. Employees need to feel that asking questions, admitting mistakes, and requesting assistance will not damage their reputation. If employees fear ridicule, criticism, or exploitation, they may avoid both seeking and providing knowledge. A psychologically unsafe environment can therefore reinforce skill hoarding. Leaders should encourage open questions and treat learning mistakes as opportunities for improvement. Knowledge sharing should become part of normal work rather than an exceptional activity.

A fourth barrier is inadequate knowledge-management infrastructure. Even when employees are willing to share, organizations may lack effective systems for capturing and retrieving knowledge. Policies, databases, digital repositories, communities of practice, mentoring programmes, and standard operating procedures can support knowledge continuity. However, technology alone cannot solve the problem. Knowledge-management systems are effective only when employees trust the system, understand its value, and receive organizational support to contribute. Research has similarly suggested that organizational culture and social conditions can be more influential than simply having knowledge-management systems or policies.

Finally, organizations can reduce the learning barriers associated with skill hoarding by developing integrated interventions. Mentoring can transfer tacit expertise, job rotation can reduce dependence on individual specialists, documentation can preserve procedural knowledge, and team-based rewards can encourage collaboration. Managers can also establish communities of practice where employees discuss challenges and exchange experience. Recognition systems should reward employees not only for possessing expertise but also for developing the capabilities of others. A recent Indian study involving 203 employees found that relational and meaning-making processes are relevant to knowledge-hoarding behaviour, reinforcing the importance of employee relationships and organizational context. Therefore, organizational learning improves when organizations deliberately transform individual skills into shared organizational capabilities.

V. CONCLUSION

Employee skill hoarding represents an important challenge for organizations seeking to develop knowledge-based and learning-oriented workplaces. Employees may retain skills because they associate specialized expertise with job security, status, career advancement, personal power, or protection against competition. Distrust, organizational politics, weak leadership, individualistic reward systems, and inadequate knowledge-sharing practices can further strengthen this behaviour. Skill hoarding should therefore be understood as the outcome of interaction between employee motives and organizational conditions rather than as an isolated individual deficiency.

The consequences of skill hoarding can be substantial. At the individual level, it may produce work overload and excessive dependence on specific employees. At the team level, it can weaken trust, collaboration, communication, and collective problem solving. At the organizational level, it can create knowledge silos, reduce innovation, increase vulnerability to employee turnover, and make succession planning more difficult. When critical expertise disappears with an employee, organizations may experience significant losses of experience and capability.

Skill hoarding is particularly damaging to organizational learning because learning requires the movement and integration of knowledge. Organizations cannot become learning-oriented merely by employing highly skilled individuals. They must create mechanisms through which individual expertise becomes collective knowledge. Mentoring, documentation, job rotation, communities of practice, collaborative projects, knowledge repositories, and team-based learning can support this transformation. Leadership should reinforce these mechanisms by establishing trust, psychological safety, fairness, and recognition for knowledge contribution.

Ultimately, reducing employee skill hoarding requires a cultural and structural approach. Organizations should create environments where employees do not perceive knowledge sharing as a threat to their position but as a contribution to collective success and professional development. Managers should align performance systems with collaboration, recognize employees who develop others, and ensure that critical skills are not concentrated in a small number of individuals. By transforming individual expertise into shared organizational capability, organizations can improve resilience, innovation, employee development, and organizational learning. Employee skill hoarding is therefore not merely a behavioural issue; it is a strategic concern that directly influences the capacity of organizations to learn, adapt, and sustain performance.

REFERENCES

- Connelly, C. E., Zweig, D., Webster, J., & Trougakos, J. P. (2012). Knowledge hiding in organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 33(1), 64–88.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/job.737>
- Connelly, C. E., Černe, M., Dysvik, A., & Škerlavaj, M. (2019). Understanding knowledge hiding in organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(7), 779–782.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2407>
- Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), 128–152.
- Crossan, M. M., Lane, H. W., & White, R. E. (1999). An organizational learning framework: From intuition to institution. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 522–537.
- Huber, G. P. (1991). Organizational learning: The contributing processes and the literatures. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 88–115.
- Oliveira, M., Curado, C., & Garcia, P. S. (2021). Knowledge hiding and knowledge hoarding: A systematic literature review. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 28(3), 277–294.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.1671>
- Serenko, A., & Bontis, N. (2016). Understanding counterproductive knowledge behavior: Antecedents and consequences of intra-organizational knowledge hiding. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 20(6), 1199–1224.
- Wang, S., & Noe, R. A. (2010). Knowledge sharing: A review and directions for future research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 20(2), 115–131.
- Wasko, M. M., & Faraj, S. (2005). Why should I share? Examining social capital and knowledge contribution in electronic networks of practice. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 35–57.
- Webster, J., Brown, G., Zweig, D., Connelly, C. E., Brodt, S., & Sitkin, S. (2008). Beyond knowledge sharing: Knowledge hiding and hoarding at work. *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management*, 27, 1–37.

